Forced Access

The U.S. Surface Transportation Board (STB), which oversees the economic regulation of freight railroads, is once again considering a rule that would mandate reciprocal switching among freight railroads. This policy of forced access would compel railroads to open their privately owned and maintained railways up to competitors at potentially below-market rates.

Background

Reciprocal switching involves a complex and time-consuming series of rail car interchanges—a single car switch can take 68 steps and 6 days to complete. Because rail routes are designed and built in consideration of the health and operation of the entire network, government mandated switching would deter railroads from investing and innovating by creating uncertainty about whether a given railroad could regain its investments into infrastructure. Plus, voluntary switching already occurs through private negotiations

In Brief

Forced access would slow freight and passenger rail service, harm most shippers, undermine economic growth, compromise safety, increase emissions and congestion, reduce rail's ability to invest and compete, and further strain a supply chain already struggling to meet demand.

between railroads, and the STB has existing authority to order switching in the event of anticompetitive conduct.

It's important to remember that railroads consistently stand out in logistics for their commitment to infrastructure spending—some \$23 billion annually in recent years, which helped them meet supply chain challenges with resilience. Freight demand is expected to grow 30% nationally by 2040. Especially now, as automation, e-commerce and supply chain upheaval alter the transportation landscape, it would be counterproductive to make railroads less competitive with other modes.

Why It Matters

Forced access would compromise the efficiency of the rail network and undermine service for rail customers and communities coast-to-coast when the supply chain is already facing severe challenges. Slowing train operations across a 140,000-mile network moving 1.5 million railcars would hurt the factories, farms, ports and local economies that rely on timely and consistent rail service.

Concerns about forced access are echoed by rail labor groups, passenger railroads, major intermodal shippers, and environmental groups. Both Congressional Democrats and Republicans have urged the STB to carefully consider the implications of the rule on the supply chain. In its March 2022 STB commentary, the National Association of Counties wrote, "While ensuring competition is vital, so too is fostering continued private railroad investments that lessen burdens on public infrastructure."

Over time, the rule would make railroads less competitive with other modes, lead to network disinvestment, and shift freight from railways to publicly funded highways. Less freight rail means more local highway congestion and more greenhouse gases, as railroads today move 40% of U.S. long-distance freight but account for just 1.7% of transportation-related emissions.

Forced access would allow the government to force Railroad 1 to take Railroad 2's traffic because Railroad 1 would be required by law to allow competitors access to its network.



